

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



NITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE inited States Potent and Trademark Office ddress COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

DATE MAILED: 04/02/2002

		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/939,714	08/28/2001	Leatrese J. Harris	UMICH-4 CI	3955
23599 759	90 04/02/2002			
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400			EXAMINER	
			COONEY, JOHN M	
ARLINGTON,	VA 22201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	•	09/939,714	HARRIS ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		John m Cooney	1711
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cover she t with the	orrespond nc address
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRIENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL AAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  It is a swall be under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1  IX (b) MONTH'S from the making date of this communication.  IX (b) MONTH'S from the making date of this communication.  Period for reply is expedited above, the maximum statisticator period so treply supported for reply is expedited above, the maximum statisticator period so treply within the set or ostended period for reply will, by statute ply received by the Office later than there months after the mailing a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .	
2a)	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) 🗌 Disposition	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims		
	Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)	Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application	on Papers		
9) 🔲 1	The specification is objected to by the Examine	rc.	
10)[] 1	"he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11) 🔲 7	he proposed drawing correction filed on		ved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•	
12) 🗌 1	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).
a)[	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	s have been received.	
	<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority document</li></ol>	s have been received in Applicati	on No
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu ee the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ol>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14)□ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).
	The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domest		
Attachment		. ,	
1) Notice	. · · of References Cited (PTO-892) · of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) · ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 6

Art Unit: 1711

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-36 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-36 of U.S. Patent No.
6,281,256. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably
distinct from each other because the differ in a manner which would have been obvious
to one having ordinary skill in the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to John m Cooney at telephone number 703-308-2433.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1711